

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,939	05/08/2001	Serge Haumont	P 279245	9553
909	7590 06/23/2006		EXAM	INER
PILLSBUR	RY WINTHROP SHAW P	PEACHES	PEACHES, RANDY	
	P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			PAPER NUMBER
,			2617	
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	09/806,939	HAUMONT, SERGE	
	Joseph H. Feild	Art Unit	
Document Code - AP.PRE			

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 4/12/06.

<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s):</li> </ol>	nd a conference will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu☐ The request does not include reasons why a re☐ A proposed amendment is included with the P☐ Other:	eview is appropriate.				
The time period for filing a response continues to run f the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No					
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this deformation that receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	here is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant h 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the				
☐ The panel has determined the status of the conclaim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 3, 7, 20-22, 25-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	laim(s) is as follows:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by					
All participants:  JOSEPH FEILD					
(1) Joseph H. FeildSUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	(3)Rein Eisenzopf. 凡乏・				
(2) Randy Peaches.	(4)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office